AI C. Edwards, M.D.	
	Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF ILLINOIS
IN RE DEPAKOTE: RHEALYN	)
ALEXANDER, et al.,	)
	) Case No.
Plaintiffs,	) 12-52-NJR-SCW
	)
v.	) LEAD CONSOLIDATED
	) CASE
ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	)
DEPOSITION OF AL C	. EDWARDS, M.D.
Monday, October	3, 2016
Greenville, Sout	
8:59 a.m	
REPORTED BY: Karen K. Kidwel	1, RMR, CRR

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

Page 38

- 1 BY MS. WILLIAMSON:
- O. Okay. Doctor, is a patient's decision
- 3 whether to take a medication a collaborative decision
- 4 made between the patient and the doctor?
- 5 A. The process is in my -- I've always felt
- 6 that the process is collaborative. The ultimate
- 7 decision is the patient's.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with informed consent as
- 9 it relates to the practice of medicine?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And is this a very important concept in
- 12 medicine?
- 13 A. It is, yes.
- 14 Q. Does informed consent mean apprising the
- 15 patient of all important risk information that is
- 16 known to you about a drug?
- 17 A. It can, yeah. In all cases, not
- 18 necessarily all, but --
- 19 O. In what cases would it not include that?
- 20 A. I'd have to -- I'd have to think a little
- 21 bit, but there are certainly people that we -- I
- 22 don't know if it's even applicable to this case that
- 23 you're talking about here, but there are folks that
- 24 have limited ability to understand all the nuances of
- 25 all the risks involved. And even though they may be

```
Page 40
 1
                MR. EVANS: Objection.
                                         Incomplete
 2.
          hypothetical.
 3
                THE WITNESS: Sure.
 4
     BY MS. WILLIAMSON:
 5
                In prescribing Depakote to Ms. Burnett in
 6
     1999, if Abbott had provided additional information
 7
     to you about the risks of birth defects with
     Depakote, would you have shared that with your
 8
     patient, Ms. Burnett?
 9
                MR. EVANS: Objection. Incomplete
10
11
          hypothetical.
12
                THE WITNESS: I would hope I would have,
13
          yes.
14
     BY MS. WILLIAMSON:
15
                Because an important part of informed
           0.
16
     consent is that the patient knows the relevant
17
     benefit and risk of potential therapies, true?
18
                Right, that's correct.
19
                Would you -- I think you testified to
           0.
20
     this, but would you agree that it's the right of
     every patient to control their own healthcare
21
2.2
     decision?
23
                MR. EVANS: Objection. Misstates prior
24
          testimony.
25
                                    I mean, in general,
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

Page 42 1 Α. Yes. And after your discussion with the 2. Ο. 3 patient, is it the patient's final decision what they 4 want to do generally? 5 Α. Yes. And if a patient decides they don't want 6 Ο. 7 to take a medication, would you expect and heed that 8 decision? 9 Α. Sure. Probably wouldn't take it anyway. 10 So the court and jury are clear about this 0. 11 setting, based upon a full understanding of the 12 proposed risks, if either you or Ms. Burnett elected to not accept the risk of Depakote and to opt for 13 14 another therapy, would Ms. Burnett have ever taken 15 Depakote in 1999? 16 MR. EVANS: Objection. Calls for 17 speculation. 18 THE WITNESS: Now, that was a lot of ifs. 19 BY MS. WILLIAMSON: 20 So based upon a full understanding of the Ο. proposed risk of Depakote, if you or Ms. Burnett had 21 2.2 decided not to accept that risk, would you have 23 prescribed Depakote to Ms. Burnett in 1999? Objection. Calls for 24 MR. EVANS: 25 Incomplete hypothetical. speculation.

Page 43 1 THE WITNESS: No. 2. BY MS. WILLIAMSON: 3 Q. And, Doctor, we've established that you 4 recommended that Ms. Burnett take Depakote in 1999 5 for possible seizure disorder, correct? 6 And it was being utilized as a mood stabilizer as well and she had a history of 7 8 schizoaffective illness so it also may provide some 9 adjunctive treatment in that area. 10 Was your decision to prescribe Depakote in Ο. 11 to Ms. Burnett in 1999 based upon your belief that 12 the benefits of the drug outweighed the risks based on the information provided to you at the time? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 And, Doctor, you were treating Ms. Burnett 0. 16 with Depakote, not a baby, correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Okay. Do you have any independent recollection of any conversations you had with 19 20 Ms. Burnett concerning any antiepileptic drug? 2.1 Other than what's in the record, I don't Α. 2.2 have any -- really recollection of conversations like 23 that. 24 Q. 17 years ago? 25 Α. Yeah.

Page 56

- 1 unpublished reports, valproic acid may produce
- 2 teratogenic effects in the offspring of human females
- 3 receiving the drug during pregnancy. There are
- 4 multiple reports in the clinical literature which
- 5 indicate that the use of antiepileptic drugs during
- 6 pregnancy results in an increased incidence of birth
- 7 defects in the offspring. Although data are more
- 8 extensive with respect to Trimethadione,
- 9 Paramethadione, Phenytoin and Phenobarbital, reports
- 10 indicate a possible similar association with the use
- 11 of other antiepileptic drugs."
- 12 O. Thank you, Doctor. What does that convey
- 13 to you about Depakote as compared to other AEDs in
- 14 terms of overall risk to babies?
- 15 A. That it's basically on par with other
- 16 drugs.
- 17 O. And is that consistent with what you knew
- 18 of the warning to be for the class of AEDs at that
- 19 time?
- 20 A. Pretty much, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. All right. If you would read for
- 22 us, please, that next paragraph, "The incidence of
- 23 neural tube defects"?
- 24 A. "The incidence of neural tube defects in
- 25 the fetus may be increased in mothers receiving

Page 57

- 1 valproic acid during their first trimester of
- 2 pregnancy. The Centers for Disease Control, CDC, has
- 3 said the risk of valproic acid exposed women having
- 4 children with spina bifida to be approximately 1 to
- 5 2 percent."
- 6 O. Doctor, if Abbott had information that the
- 7 incidence could be higher in 1999, would you have
- 8 wanted to know that at the time you prescribed
- 9 Depakote to Ms. Burnett?
- 10 MR. EVANS: Objection. Calls for
- 11 speculation.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 13 MR. EVANS: Sorry. Calls for -- assumes
- 14 facts not in evidence.
- 15 BY MS. WILLIAMSON:
- 16 O. And your answer was?
- 17 A. Yes, I would want to know that.
- Q. Okay. And then just that next paragraph
- 19 is the last one I'm going to ask you to read, please.
- 20 "Other congenital"?
- 21 A. "Other congenital anomalies, e.g.
- 22 craniofacial defects, cardiovascular malformations
- 23 and anomalies involving various body systems
- 24 compatible and incompatible with life have been
- 25 reported. Sufficient data to determine the incidence